

Investigating the Impact of Video Quality, Hardware, and Software Stabilization on Facial Detection and Recognition in Mobile Robots Systems



James A. Murphy IV
Advisors: Mehmet Fuat Sener and Nicholas Webb



Introduction:

- Computer Vision (CV) is a rapidly growing field that offers a lot of potential for service robots.
- Without a stable image to process, these advanced systems will not be practical.
- Determine the most cost efficient solution for stabilizing images for mobile robots.

Objective:

- Quantify the benefit of four hardware and software stabilization solutions:
 1. No Solution (baseline)
 2. Hardware
 3. Software
 4. Both Hardware and Software



Figure 1. Turtlebot that will be used for testing



Figure 2. Hardware Solution

Methods:

- Used SLAM navigation to create a consistent path for the robot
- 3-D printed a mold to mount the hardware solution
- Conduct 15 trials for each solution (total of 60)
- Ran the 60 trials for two cameras, Samsung S7 (13 megapixels) and Logitech USB Camera (3 Megapixels)
- Extract data from facial detection/recognition system to R
- Evaluate the detection and recognition rate for each frame of the video
- Use the evaluation to create overall measure of success for the average of each solution/cameras trials.

Data & Conclusions:

	Dependent variable:			
	Samsung S7 (1)	detection USB (2)	Samsung S7 (3)	recognition USB (4)
hardware+software	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.151*** (0.019)	0.027*** (0.008)	0.279*** (0.026)
hardware	-0.001 (0.001)	-0.139*** (0.019)	-0.021*** (0.007)	0.147*** (0.026)
software	-0.000 (0.001)	-0.006 (0.020)	0.011 (0.007)	0.113*** (0.027)
constant	1.000*** (0.0004)	0.941*** (0.014)	0.044*** (0.004)	0.170*** (0.019)
observations	6,134	2,487	6,134	2,487
R2	0.001	0.042	0.005	0.047
Adjusted R2	0.00004	0.041	0.005	0.046
Residual Std. Error	0.018 (df = 6130)	0.339 (df = 2483)	0.211 (df = 6130)	0.452 (df = 2483)
F Statistic	1.072 (df = 3; 6130)	36.445*** (df = 3; 2483)	10.898*** (df = 3; 6130)	40.604*** (df = 3; 2483)

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Figure 3. Overall Regression Results by Camera and Solution

- Stabilization Solutions negatively impacted detection rate but increased correct recognition rate
- Software had a minimal detection impact of -1.6% while benefiting recognition rate by 6.0%
- 3 Megapixels cameras are not practical when objects at greater than nine feet away
- -20% overall detection rate drop for 3 megapixel camera

References:

- Bledsoe, W.W.: Man-Machine Facial Recognition: Report on a Large-Scale Experiment. Technical Report PRI-22. Panoramic Research Inc. California (1966)
- Murray, Don, and Anup Basu. "Motion tracking with an active camera." IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 16.5 (1994): 449-459.
- Viola, Paul, and Michael J. Jones. "Robust real-time face detection." International journal of computer vision 57.2 (2004): 137-154.